# THE HEALTH CONSEQUENCES OF SMOKING FOR WOMEN

a report of the Surgeon General



## THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE WASHINGTON, D. C. 2020!

The Honorable Thomas P. O'Neill, Jr. Speaker of the House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Speaker:

I hereby submit the 12th annual report that the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (DHEW) has prepared for Congress as required by the Public Health Cigarette Smoking Act of 1969, Public Law 91-222, and its predecessor, the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act. This report is one of the most alarming in the series.

It clearly establishes that women smokers face the same risks as men smokers of lung cancer, heart disease, lung disease and other consequences. Perhaps more disheartening is the harm which mothers' smoking causes to their unborn babies and infants.

The report is not all bad news. It presents recent data showing that women are turning away from smoking in response to the warnings of government, voluntary agencies and physicians. The precipitate rise in women's deaths from lung cancer and chronic lung disease demand that this trend away from cigarettes be accelerated. Our scientists expect that by 1983, the lung cancer death rate will exceed that of any other type of cancer among women.

Citizens of our free society may decide for themselves whether to smoke cigarettes. The health consequences of this decision make it imperative for their government to assure that the decision is an informed one. This series of reports is one way in which DHEW is striving to meet this critical responsibility.

Sincerely yours,

Patricia Roberts Harris

### **PREFACE**

This report is more than a factual review of the health consequences of smoking for women. It is a document which challenges our society and, in particular, our medical and public health communities.

This report points out that the first signs of an epidemic of smoking-related disease among women are now appearing. Because women's cigarette use did not become widespread until the onset of World War II, those women with the greatest intensity of smoking are now only in their thirties, forties, and fifties. As these women grow older, and continue to smoke, their burden of smoking-related disease will grow larger. Cigarette smoking now contributes to one-fifth of the newly diagnosed cases of cancer and one-quarter of all cancer deaths among women—more cancer and more cancer deaths among women than can be attributed to any other known agent. Within three years, the lung cancer death rate is expected to surpass that for breast cancer. A similar epidemic of chronic obstructive lung disease among women has also begun.

Four main themes emerge from this report to guide future public health efforts.

First, women are not immune to the damaging effects of smoking already documented for men. The apparently lower susceptibility to smoking-related diseases among women smokers is an illusion reflecting the fact that women lagged onequarter century behind men in their widespread use of cigarettes.

Second, cigarette smoking is a major threat to the outcome of pregnancy and well-being of the newborn baby.

Third, women may not start smoking, continue to smoke, quit smoking, or fail to quit smoking for precisely the same reasons as men. Unless future research clarifies these differences, we will find it difficult to prevent initiation or to promote cessation of cigarette smoking among women.

Fourth, the reduction of cigarette smoking is the keystone in our nation's long term strategy to promote a healthy lifestyle for women and men of all races and ethnic groups.

### The Fallacy of Women's Immunity

All of the major prospective studies of smoking and mortality have reached consistent conclusions. Death rates from coronary heart disease, chronic lung disease, lung cancer, and overall mortality rates are significantly increased among both women and men smokers. These risks increase with the amount smoked, duration of smoking, depth of inhalation, and the "tar"

and nicotine delivery of the cigarette smoked.

In these studies, conducted during the past three decades, relative mortality risks among female smokers appeared to be less than those of male smokers. It is now clear, however, that these studies were comparing the death rates of a generation of established, lifelong male smokers with a generation of women who had not yet taken up smoking with full intensity. Even those older women who reported smoking a large number of cigarettes per day had not smoked cigarettes in the same way as their male counterparts. Now that the cigarette smoking characteristics of women and men are becoming increasingly similar, their relative risks of smoking-related illness will become increasingly similar.

This fallacy of women's apparent immunity is clearly illustrated by differences in the timing of the growth in lung cancer among men and women in this century. Lung cancer deaths among males began to increase during the 1930s, as those men who had converted from other forms of tobacco to cigarette smoking before the turn of the century gradually accumulated decades of inhaled tobacco exposure. By the time of the first retrospective studies of smoking and lung cancer in 1950, two entire generations of men had already become lifelong cigarette smokers. Relatively few women from these generations smoked cigarettes, and even fewer had smoked cigarettes since their adolescence. Those young women who had taken up smoking intensively during World War II were only in their twenties and thirties. In 1950, women accounted for less than one in twelve deaths from lung cancer.

Thereafter, the age adjusted lung cancer death rate among women accelerated, and the male predominance in lung cancer declined. Lung cancer surpassed uterine cervical cancer as a cause of death in women. By 1968, as the findings of many large population prospective studies were being published, women accounted for one-sixth of all lung cancer deaths. These studies found that women cigarette smokers had 2.5 to 5 times greater death rates from lung cancer than women nonsmokers. By 1979, women accounted for fully one-fourth of all lung cancer deaths. Over the next few years, women cigarette smokers' risk of lung cancer death will approach 8 to 12 times that of women nonsmokers, the same relative risk as that of men.

Lung cancer has four main histological types: epidermoid, small cell, adenocarcinoma, and large cell carcinoma, As several studies have shown, the incidence of each of these types of lung cancer displays a clear relationship to cigarette smoking among both men and women. Epidermoid and small cell lung cancer appear to be more prominent among men, while adenocar-

cinoma of the lung now appears to be more prominent among women.

The recent acceleration of lung cancer incidence among women has in fact been more rapid than the corresponding growth of lung cancer among men in the 1930s. Again, this difference in the initial rate of acceleration of lung cancer incidence does not refute the demonstrated causal relation between cigarette smoking and lung cancer among both sexes. Instead, differences in the rate of increase of lung cancer incidence may reflect changes in the carcinogenic properties of cigarette smoke, the style of cigarette smoking, or the interaction of cigarette smoking with other environmental hazards. It is noteworthy that those men who died of lung cancer in the 1930s came from a generation that had gradually converted to cigarettes from other, non-inhaled forms of tobacco. By contrast, the first regular tobacco users among women were almost exclusively cigarette smokers.

The 1979 Report on Smoking and Health documented numerous instances where cigarette smoking adds to the hazards of the workplace environment among men. Among women, this report reveals two such occupational exposures—asbestos and cotton dust—which have been clearly demonstrated to interact with cigarette smoking. The fact that evidence is limited among women does not imply that women are protected from the dangerous interactions of smoking and occupational exposures.

### Pregnancy, Infant Health, and Reproduction

Scientific studies encompassing various races and ethnic groups, cultures and countries, involving hundreds of thousands of pregnancies, have shown that cigarette smoking during pregnancy significantly affects the unborn fetus and the newborn baby. These damaging effects have been repeatedly shown to operate independently of all other factors that influence the outcome of pregnancy. The effects are increased by heavier smoking and are reduced if a woman stops smoking during pregnancy.

Numerous toxic substances in cigarette smoke, such as nicotine and hydrogen cyanide, cross the placenta to affect the fetus directly. The carbon monoxide from cigarette smoke is transported into the fetal blood and deprives the growing baby of oxygen. Fetal growth is directly retarded. The resulting reduction in fetal weight and size has many unfortunate consequences. Women who smoke cigarettes during pregnancy have more spontaneous abortions, and a greater incidence of bleeding during pregnancy, premature and prolonged rupture of am-

niotic membranes, abruptio placentae and placenta previa. Women who smoke cigarettes during pregnancy have more fetal and neonatal deaths than nonsmoking pregnant women. A relation between maternal smoking and Sudden Infant Death Syndrome has now been established.

The direct harmful effects of smoking on the fetus have long term consequences. Children of mothers who smoked during pregnancy lag measurably in physical growth; there may also be effects on behavior and cognitive development. The extent of these deficiencies increases with the number of cigarettes smoked.

The damaging effects of maternal smoking on infants are not restricted to pregnancy. Nicotine, a known poison, is found in the breast milk of smoking mothers. Children whose parents smoke cigarettes have more respiratory infections and more hospitalizations in the first year of life.

Women who smoke cigarettes have more than three times the risk of dying of stroke due to subarachnoid hemorrhage, and as much as two times the risk of dying of heart attack in comparison to nonsmoking women. The use of oral contraceptives in addition to smoking, however, causes a markedly increased risk, including a 22-fold increase in the risk of subarachnoid hemorrhagic stroke and a 20-fold increase in heart attack in heavy smokers.

### Why Do Women Smoke?

Cigarette consumption in this country is now declining. Annual per capita consumption has decreased from 4,258 in 1965 to an estimated 3,900 in 1979. From 1965 to 1979, the proportion of adult male cigarette smokers declined from 51 to 37 percent. Not only have millions of men quit smoking, but the rate of initiation of smoking among adolescent males has now slowed.

From 1965 to 1976, the proportion of adult women cigarette smokers remained virtually unchanged at 32 to 33 percent. Since 1976, however, the proportion of adult women cigarette smokers appears to have declined to 28 percent. Although adult women are now beginning to quit smoking at rates comparable to adult men, the rate of initiation of smoking among younger women has not declined.

This report documents numerous differences by sex in the perceived role of cigarette smoking, in attitudes toward health and lifestyle, and in methods of coping with stress, anger, and boredom. Yet the significance of these differences, and their relation to differences in smoking patterns, remains poorly understood.

Although it is frequently observed that women in organized smoking cessation programs have more severe withdrawal symptoms and lower rates of successful quitting than men, these observations have not been systematically confirmed for the general population. In the past, women may have attempted to quit or succeeded in quitting smoking less frequently than men. The recent decline in the proportion of women smokers, however, suggests that women's attempted and successful quitting rates have now increased.

Although weight gain is a frequently cited consequence of quitting smoking, the association of weight gain with cessation of smoking has not been the subject of sufficient scrutiny. Controlled studies with careful measurement on representative populations of women do not exist. The impact of the fear of weight gain after quitting has not been adequately examined. If weight gain does result from cessation of smoking, its exact mechanism must be determined.

Even more problematic are marked differences by sex in the distribution of smoking prevalence by occupation. Men with advanced education and professional occupations have taken the lead in quitting smoking, but women in administrative and managerial positions have relatively high smoking prevalence rates. Although 20 percent or fewer male physicians smoke, the proportions of cigarette smokers among women health professionals, especially nurses and psychologists, remain disturbingly high.

Recent changes in smoking prevalence among black women and men have paralleled those of the general population. From 1965 to 1979, the proportion of black women cigarette smokers declined from 34 to 29 percent, while the proportion of black men smokers declined from 61 to 42 percent. However, differences by race in the onset, maintenance, and cessation of smoking have not been adequately explored. Little is known about cigarette smoking among other ethnic and minority groups.

### **Adolescent Smoking**

The health consequences of smoking evolve over a lifetime. Evidence continues to accumulate, for example, that cigarette smoking produces measurable lung changes in adolescence and young adulthood. Young cigarette smokers of both sexes show more evidence of small airway dysfunction, and a higher prevalence of cough, wheezing, phlegm production, and other respiratory symptoms. The health damage due to cigarette smoking increases when an individual begins regular smoking earlier in life. Yet, as this report documents, the average age of onset of

regular smoking among women has continuously declined during the last 50 years, and continues to decline.

According to a recent survey by the National Institute of Education, cigarette smoking among adolescent girls now exceeds that among adolescent boys. In the 17-19 year age group, there are almost 5 female cigarette smokers for every 4 male cigarette smokers. The causes of this inversion are far from clear. We do not yet understand the signal events in the initiation of smoking among young women. It is possible that parents set examples concerning lifestyle, health attitude, and risktaking much earlier in childhood. The beginning of junior high school or entrance into the work force may be equally critical events. We do not know enough about an adolescent's sense of competence and self-mastery, and how these roles differ among women and men. Although smoking patterns among girls correlate with parental, peer and sibling smoking habits, educational level, type of school curriculum, academic performance, socioeconomic status, and other forms of substance abuse, the practical significance of these empirical correlations is unclear.

### Women and the Changing Cigarette

As this report documents, the proportion of men and women smokers using brands with lowered "tar" and nicotine continues to grow. Adolescents of both sexes have followed this trend, to the point where nonfilter cigarettes are relatively rare among young adults.

Although the preponderance of scientific evidence continues to suggest that cigarettes with lower "tar" and nicotine are less hazardous, four serious warnings are in order.

First, the reported "tar" and nicotine deliveries of cigarettes are standardized machine measurements. They do not necessarily represent the smoker's actual intake of these substances. Evidence is now mounting that individuals who switch to cigarettes with lowered "tar" and nicotine inhale more deeply, smoke a greater proportion of their cigarettes, and in some cases smoke more cigarettes.

Second, "tar" and nicotine are not the only dangerous chemical components of cigarette smoke. Many conventional filter cigarettes, in fact, may deliver more carbon monoxide than non-filter cigarettes.

Third, it has not been established that lower "tar" and nicotine cigarettes have less harmful effects on the unborn fetus and baby; on women and men at high risk for developing coronary heart disease, such as those with elevated cholesterol or high blood pressure; or on workers with adverse occupational

exposures. It has not been established that switching to a lower "tar" and nicotine cigarette has any salutary effect on individuals who already have smoking-related illnesses, such as coronary heart disease, chronic bronchitis, and emphysema.

Fourth, even the lowest yield cigarettes present health hazards for both women and men that are very much higher than smoking no cigarettes at all.

The single most effective way for both women and men smokers to reduce the hazards associated with cigarettes is to quit smoking.

As this report demonstrates, little is known about the effects of these product changes on the initiation, maintenance and cessation of smoking, particularly among women. It has not been determined whether the availability of cigarettes with lowered "tar" and nicotine has made it easier for young women to experiment with and become addicted to cigarettes. It is not known whether smokers of the lowest yield cigarettes are more or less likely to attempt to quit, or to succeed in quitting, than smokers of conventional filtertip or nonfilter cigarettes. The extent to which the act of switching to a lower "tar" cigarette serves as a substitute for quitting may differ among women and men.

### Public Health Responsibilities

This report, which includes data compiled by individuals from both inside and outside the Government, has confirmed in every way the judgement of the World Health Organization that there can no longer be any doubt among informed people that cigarette smoking is a major and removable cause of ill health and premature death.

Each individual woman must make her own decision about this significant health issue. Secretary Harris has noted that the role of the Government, and all responsible health professionals, is to assure that this decision is an informed one. In issuing this report, we hope to help the public health community accomplish this purpose.

Julius B. Richmond, M.D. Assistant Secretary for Health and Surgeon General

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This report was prepared by agencies of the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare under the general editorship of the Office on Smoking and Health, John M. Pinney, Director. Consulting scientific editors were David M. Burns, M.D., Assistant Clinical Professor of Medicine, Pulmonary Division, University of California at San Diego, San Diego, California, and John H. Holbrook, M.D., Associate Professor of Internal Medicine, University of Utah Medical School, Salt Lake City, Utah. Contributing scientific editors were Joanne Luoto, M.D., M.P.H., Medical Officer, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland, and Kelley L. Phillips, M.D., M.P.H., Expert Consultant, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Introduction and Summary
Office on Smoking and Health

Patterns of Cigarette Smoking Office on Smoking and Health

Jeffrey E. Harris, M.D., Ph.D., Associate Professor, Department of Economics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts; Clinical Associate, Medical Services, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

### Mortality

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

Eugene Rogot, M.A., Division of Heart and Vascular Diseases, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Thomas J. Thom, Division of Heart and Vascular Diseases, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

### Morbidity

National Center for Health Statistics

Ronald W. Wilson, M.A., Chief, Health Status and Demographic Analysis Branch, Division of Analysis, National Center for Health Statistics, Hyattsville, Maryland.

### $Cardiovas cular\ Diseases$

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

G. C. McMillan, M.D., Ph.D., Associate Director for Etiology of Arteriosclerosis and Hypertension, Division of Heart and Vascular Diseases, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

### Cancer

National Cancer Institute

Jesse L. Steinfeld, M.D., Dean, School of Medicine, Medical College of Virginia, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia.

 $Non-Neoplastic\ Bronchopulmonary\ Diseases$ 

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

Richard A. Bordow, M.D., Associate Director of Respiratory Medicine, Brookside Hospital, San Pablo, California.

Claude J. M. Lenfant, M.D., Director, Division of Lung Diseases, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Barbara Marzetta Liu, S.M., Division of Lung Diseases, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Eric R. Jurrus, Ph.D., Division of Lung Diseases, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Interaction Between Smoking and Occupational Exposures
National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
Jeanne M. Stellman, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Columbia
University, School of Public Health, New York, New York.
Steven D. Stellman, Ph.D., Assistant Vice-President for
Epidemiology, American Cancer Society, New York, New
York.

### Pregnancy and Infant Health

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Eileen G. Hasselmeyer, Ph.D., R.N., Associate Director for Scientific Review, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Mary B. Meyer, Sc.M., Associate Professor of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins University, School of Hygiene and Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland.

Lawrence D. Longo, M.D., Professor of Physiology and of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Loma Linda University School of Medicine, Loma Linda, California.

Donald R. Mattison, M.D., Medical Officer, Pregnancy Research Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Peptic Ulcer Disease

National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism and Digestive Diseases

Travis E. Solomon, M.D., Ph.D., Center for Ulcer Research xiv

and Education, Veterans Administration Wadsworth Medical Center, and University of California, Los Angeles School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California.

Janet D. Elashoff, Ph.D., Center for Ulcer Research and Education, Veterans Administration Wadsworth Medical Center and University of California, Los Angeles School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California.

Interactions of Smoking with Drugs, Food Constituents, and Responses to Diagnostic Tests

Food and Drug Administration

Cheryl Fossum Graham, M.D., Division of Drug Experience, Office of Biometrics and Epidemiology, Bureau of Drugs, Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, Maryland.

Psychosocial and Behavioral Aspects of Smoking in Women National Institute on Drug Abuse and National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Initiation

Ellen R. Gritz, Ph.D., Research Psychologist, Veterans Administration Medical Center, Brentwood, and Associate Research Psychologist, Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, California.

Ann F. Brunswick, Ph.D., Senior Research Associate (Public Health, Sociomedical Sciences), Center for Sociocultural Research on Drug Use, Columbia University, New York, New York.

Maintenance and Cessation

Karen L. Bierman, M.A., Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, California.

Ellen R. Gritz, Ph.D., Research Psychologist, Veterans Administration Medical Center, Brentwood, and Associate Research Psychologist, Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, California.

The editors acknowledge with gratitude the many distinguished scientists, physicians, and others who assisted in the preparation of this report by coordinating manuscript preparation, contributing critical reviews of the manuscripts or helping in other ways.

Elvin E. Adams, M.D., M.P.H., Chairman, Texas Interagency Council on Smoking and Health, Practicing Internal Medicine, Fort Worth, Texas.

Josephine D. Arasteh, Ph.D., Health Scientist Administrator,

Human Learning and Behavior Branch, Center for Research for Mothers and Children, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Lester Breslow, M.D., M.P.H., Dean, School of Public Health, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California.

A. Sonia Buist, M.D., Associate Professor of Medicine and Physiology, University of Oregon Health Sciences Center, Portland, Oregon.

David M. Burns, M.D., Assistant Clinical Professor of Medicine, Pulmonary Division, University of California at San Diego, San Diego, California.

Thomas C. Chalmers, M.D., President and Dean, Mount Sinai Medical Center, New York, New York.

Florence L. Denmark, Ph.D., Professor of Psychology, Hunter College of the City University of New York, and President of the American Psychological Association, New York, New York.

Robert M. Donaldson, Jr., M.D., Chief, Medical Services, Westhaven Veterans Hospital, and Vice-Chairman, Department of Internal Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut.

Joseph T. Doyle, M.D., Professor of Medicine and Head, Division of Cardiology of the Department of Medicine, Albany Medical College of Union University, Albany, New York.

Elizabeth M. Earley, Ph.D., Chief, Section of Cytogenetics, Division of Pathology, Bureau of Biologics, Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, Maryland.

Bernard H. Ellis, Jr., Program Director for Smoking and Occupational Activities, Office of Cancer Communications, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Diane Fink, M.D., Associate Director, National Cancer Institute, and Coordinator, Smoking, Cancer, and Health Program, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Harold E. Fox, M.D., Associate Professor of Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, and Medical Director, Western and Upper Manhattan Perinatal Network, New York, New York.

Joseph H. Gainer, D.V.M., Veterinary Medical Officer, Division of Veterinary Medical Research, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, Beltsville, Maryland.

Stanley N. Gershoff, Ph.D., Director, Nutrition Institute and

Chairman, Graduate Department of Nutrition, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts.

Mary E. Guinan, M.D., Clinical Research Investigator, Clinical Studies Section, Venereal Disease Control Division, Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia.

Sharon M. Hall, Ph.D., Assistant Professor in Residence, University of California at San Francisco, Langley Porter Psychiatric Institute, San Francisco, California.

Jane Halpern, M.D., Assistant Secretary for Policy Evaluation and Research, Office of Health and Disability, United States Department of Labor, Washington, D.C.

Beatrix A. Hamburg, M.D., Senior Research Psychiatrist, Laboratory of Developmental Psychology, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Virginia G. Harris, M.D., Director, Maternal and Child Health, Onondaga County Health Department, Syracuse, New York. John H. Holbrook, M.D., Associate Professor of Internal Medicine, University of Utah Medical School, Salt Lake City, Utah.

L. Stanley James, M.D., Professor of Pediatrics, and of Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Director, Division of Perinatal Medicine, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, New York.

Hershel Jick, M.D., Boston Collaborative Drug Surveillance Program, Boston University Medical Center, Waltham, Massachusetts.

Reese T. Jones, M.D., Professor of Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, University of California at San Francisco, Langley Porter Psychiatric Institute, San Francisco, California.

Philip Kimbel, M.D., Chairman, Department of Medicine, Graduate Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Jan W. Kuzma, Ph.D., Chairman and Professor of Biostatistics, Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Loma Linda University, Loma Linda, California.

Abraham Lilienfeld, M.D., M.P.H., D.Sc., University Distinguished Service Professor, Johns Hopkins School of Hygiene and Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland.

Harold A. Menkes, M.D., Associate Professor of Medicine and Environmental Health Sciences, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.

Kenneth Moser, M.D., Professor of Medicine and Director, Pulmonary Division, University of California at San Diego, San Diego, California.

Mariquita Mullan, B.S.N., M.P.H., Special Assistant to the Di-

rector, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Center for Disease Control, Rockville, Maryland.

Janyce E. Notopoulos, Program Analyst, Office of Planning and Evaluation, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Albert Oberman, M.D., Director, Division of Preventive Medicine, University of Alabama in Birmingham Medical Center, Birmingham, Alabama.

Ralph S. Paffenbarger, M.D., D.R.P.H., Professor of Epidemiology, Stanford University, School of Medicine, Stanford, California, and Adjunct Professor of Epidemiology at the University of California, School of Public Health, Berkeley, California.

Richard Peto, M.D., Radcliff Clinic, Oxford University, Oxford, England.

Malcolm C. Pike, Ph.D., Professor, Community and Family Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Southern California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California.

Ovide F. Pomerleau, Ph.D., Professor of Psychology and Psychiatry, University of Connecticut, School of Medicine, Farmington, Connecticut.

Phill H. Price, M.D., Medical Officer, Metabolic Products Branch, Division of Metabolism and Endocrine Drugs, Bureau of Drugs, Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, Maryland.

Dorothy P. Rice, Director, National Center for Health Statistics, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health, Hyattsville, Maryland.

Anthony Robbins, M.D., Director, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Center for Disease Control, Rockville, Maryland.

Judith B. Rooks, C.N.M., M.P.H., M.S., Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health, Washington, D.C.

Harold P. Roth, M.D., Associate Director for Digestive Diseases and Nutrition, National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Philip Sapir, Special Assistant to the Director for Behavioral and Social Sciences and Chief, Human Learning and Behavior Branch, Center for Research for Mothers and Children, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Marvin A. Schniederman, Ph.D., Associate Director for Science Policy, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Irving J. Selikoff, M.D., Professor of Community Medicine and Professor of Medicine, and Director of Environmental Sciences Laboratory, Mount Sinai Medical Center, New York, New York.

S. I. Shibko, Ph.D., Chief, Contaminants and Natural Toxicants Branch, Division of Toxicology, Bureau of Foods, Food and Drug Administration, Washington, D.C.

Jeremiah Stamler, M.D., Chairman, Department of Community Health and Preventive Medicine, Northwestern University Medical School, Chicago, Illinois.

John E. Vanderveen, Ph.D., Director, Division of Nutrition, Bureau of Foods, Food and Drug Administration, Washington, D.C.

Eve Weinblatt, Assistant Director for Research, Department of Research and Statistics, Health Insurance Plan of Greater New York, New York, New York.

Samuel S. C. Yen, M.D., Professor and Chairman, Department of Reproductive Medicine, University of California, San Diego, LaJolla, California.

The editors also acknowledge the help of the following staff who among others assisted in the preparation of the report.

John L. Bagrosky, Associate Director for Program Operations, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Jacqueline O. Blandford, Clerk-Typist, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Betty Budd, Secretary, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

John F. Hardesty, Jr., Public Information Officer, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Patricia E. Healy, Technical Information Clerk, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Robert S. Hutchings, Associate Director for Information and Program Development, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Margaret E. Ketterman, Secretary, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Richard A. Lasco, Ph.D., Bureau of Health Education, Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia.

Joanne Luoto, M.D., M.P.H., Medical Officer, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Judith L. Mullaney, M.L.S., Technical Information Specialist, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Marjorie L. Olson, Secretary, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Kelley L. Phillips, M.D., M.P.H., Expert Consultant, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

David L. Pitts, Public Health Advisor, Operations Branch, Nutrition Division, Bureau of Smallpox Eradication, Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia.

Donald R. Shopland, Technical Information Officer, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Linda R. Spiegelman, Administrative Assistant, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Carol M. Sussman, Technical Publication Writer/Editor, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Ronald G. Thomas, Public Health Analyst, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Selwyn M. Waingrow, Public Health Analyst, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Ann E. Wessel, Public Health Analyst, Office on Smoking and Health, Rockville, Maryland.

Carole L. Winn, Assistant Chief, Clinical Chemistry Standardization Section, Clinical Chemistry Division, Metabolic Biochemistry Branch, Bureau of Laboratories, Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PART I PATTERNS OF CIGARETTE SMOKING	
	15
Introduction	17
The Rise of Cigarette Smoking: 1900-1950	17
The Emergence of Filtertip Cigarettes: 1951-1963	21
Increasing Public Health Awareness: 1964-1979	21
Exposure to Cigarette Smoking Among	
Successive Birth Cohorts	28
Cigarette Smoking Among Young Women	33
Summary	36
References	38
PART II BIOMEDICAL ASPECTS OF SMOKING	
Na	
MORTALITY	44
Introduction and Background	45
Mortality Trends	45
Epidemiological Studies	46
The American Cancer Society	4.5
25-State Study	47 51
The Swedish Study	51 51
The Canadian Veterans Study	51
• •	51
The British Doctors Study The Framingham Heart Study	$\frac{51}{52}$
The British-Norwegian	02
	52
Mismont Ctudy	02
Migrant Study	
Overall Mortality for Females—Cigarette Smokers	-53
Overall Mortality for Females—Cigarette Smokers Versus Nonsmokers	53 53
Overall Mortality for Females—Cigarette Smokers  Versus Nonsmokers  Mortality Ratios	53 53 54
Overall Mortality for Females—Cigarette Smokers  Versus Nonsmokers  Mortality Ratios  Amount Smoked and Age	53
Overall Mortality for Females—Cigarette Smokers  Versus Nonsmokers  Mortality Ratios  Amount Smoked and Age  Duration of Smoking	53 54
Overall Mortality for Females—Cigarette Smokers Versus Nonsmokers  Mortality Ratios  Amount Smoked and Age  Duration of Smoking  Age Began Smoking	53 54 57
Overall Mortality for Females—Cigarette Smokers Versus Nonsmokers  Mortality Ratios  Amount Smoked and Age  Duration of Smoking  Age Began Smoking  Inhalation	53 54 57 58
Overall Mortality for Females—Cigarette Smokers Versus Nonsmokers  Mortality Ratios  Amount Smoked and Age  Duration of Smoking  Age Began Smoking  Inhalation  "Tar" and Nicotine Content of	53 54 57 58

Comments  Summary  References	61 61 62
MORBIDITY  Days Lost from Work  Limitation of Activity  Cigarette Smoking and Occupation  Summary  References	65 67 68 69 70 75
CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES	77
Introduction	79
Mortality Rates	79
Atherosclerosis	84
Risk Factors	86
The Effect of Smoking	86
Atherosclerosis	86
Coronary Heart Disease	88
Cessation of Smoking and "Tar"	
and Nicotine Content of	
Cigarettes	92
Angina Pectoris	93
Cerebrovascular Disease	93
Arteriosclerotic Peripheral	
Vascular Disease	95
Aortic Aneurysm	96
Hypertension	96
Venous Thrombosis	97
High-Density Lipoprotein	98
Oral Contraceptive Use, Smoking, and	00
Cardiovascular Disease	98
Carbon Monoxide	101
Comment	101
Summary	102
References	103
CANCER	107
Introduction	
Lung	111
Geographic Differences	116
Smoking Patterns Among Women	117
Cessation of Smoking	120
	121
Larynx	121
Oral	
Esophagus	

Urinary Bladder	125
Kidney	125
Pancreas	126
Summary	126
References	127
Non-neoplastic Bronchopulmonary Diseases	133
Introduction	135
Definitions	135
Smoking and Respiratory Mortality	137
Smoking and the Epidemiology and	10.
Pathology of Cold	141
Smoking and Respiratory Morbidity	146
Smoking and Pulmonary Function	156
Smoking and "Early" Functional	
Abnormalities	157
Smoking and Ventilatory Function	160
Summary	163
References	163
Interaction Between Smoking and	
Occupational Exposures	169
Smoking Patterns in Women	172
Patterns of Employment	175
The Reproductive Role	177
Specific Interactions Between Occupational	
Exposure and Smoking	179
Asbestos	179
Cotton Dust	181
Summary	186
References	187
PREGNANCY AND INFANT HEALTH	
Introduction	191
Smoking, Birth Weight, and Fetal Growth	191
Placental Ratios	194
Gestation and Fetal Growth	195
Long-Term Growth and Development	196
Role of Maternal Weight Gain	202
Smoking, Fetal and Infant Mortality,	
and Morbidity	206
Spontaneous Abortion	206
Congential Malformations	207
Perinatal Mortality	211
Cause of Death	214
Complications of Pregnancy and Labor	214
	xxiii

Preeclampsia	211
Preterm Delivery, Pregnancy	
Complications, and Perinatal	917
Mortality by Gestation	991
Long-Term Morbidity and Mortality	995
Sudden Infant Death Syndrome	220
Mechanisms	220
Experimental Studies	990
Nicotine	
Carbon Monoxide	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	
Other Components	234
Fertility	225
Smoking and Reproduction in Women	225
Smoking and Age of Menopause	200
	200
Fertilization and Conceptus Transport	997
Summary	939
References	
PEPTIC ULCER DISEASE	251
Summary	254
References	254
INTERACTIONS OF SMOKING WITH DRUGS,	
FOOD CONSTITUENTS, AND RESPONSES	
TO DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	259
Women Smokers and Nonsmokers and	
Drug Consumption Patterns	259
Altered Clinical Response to Drug Therapy	
by Smokers as Compared to Nonsmokers	261
Oral Contraceptives and Smoking	
Alterations in Normal Clinical Laboratory	
Values in Women Smokers	263
The Influence of Smoking on the	
Nutritional Needs of Women	264
Summary	265
References	265
PART III	
PSYCHOSOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL ASPECTS	060
OF SMOKING IN WOMEN	
Introduction	2/1

xxiv

Initiation of Smoking in Adolescent Girls	271
Concepts of Adolescent Behavior	
Prevalence and Patterns of	
Adolescent Cigarette Use	273
Prevalence	
Age at Initiation of Smoking	
Number of Cigarettes Smoked	
Type of Cigarette Smoked	
Smoking Cessation	
Smoking Prevalence and Ethnicity	280
Alcohol and Marihuana Use	280
Demographic and Psychosocial	
Correlates of Smoking in	
Adolescence	281
Socioeconomic Influences	
Family Patterns	
Smoking Among Parents and Siblings	
Peer Group Influence	
Scholastic Achievement and Aspirations	
Dynamic/Personality Factors	
Prediction of Future Smoking Behavior	
Prevention of Smoking and	
Considerations for Future	
Research	290
Prevention of the Initiation of	
Smoking	290
Research Goals	291
Maintenance of Smoking Behavior	293
Patterns of Cigarette Smoking	293
Smoking Prevalence and Ethnicity	296
Pharmacological Effects of	
Smoking	297
Nicotine	297
Peripheral Effects	297
Central Effects	298
A Possible Role for Nicotine in	
Smoking Maintenance	298
Differences in Nicotine Metabolism	300
Smoking and Stimulation Effects	300
Smoking Cessation	302
Demographics	303
	303
Education	303
Income	304
o compared to the compared to	304
Psychology of Changing Smoking Habits	305
	xxv

Treatment Studies	306
The Smoking Withdrawal Syndrome	315
Smoking and Weight Control	315
Treatment Recommendations	319
Conclusions	321
Dissemination of Information About Smoking	321
Health Attitudes and Behaviors	321
Sources of Information	322
Health Care Providers	322
Educators	324
Peer Group	
Family	
Media: Television, Radio, Film,	
Newspapers, Magazines	325
Advertising	325
The Failure to Disseminate	
Information	327
Stress at Work	
Smoking Habits of Health Professionals	329
Physicians	329
Psychologists	332
Nurses	333
The Pregnant Smoker—A Special Target	336
Sources of Information	336
Physician Advice	
Prevalence of Smoking and Quitting	
During Pregnancy	340
Psychosocial Factors in Quitting	344
Recommendations	345
Summary	
References	
Itelefelices	

1.50